
Research note on the martingale concentration inequality

Wei Xiong *

Abstract

We are interested in the martingale concentration inequality used in sequential estimation problem.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Martingale Exponential Inequalities	2
3	Examples with application	3
3.1	Azuma-Hoeffding’s inequality	3
3.2	Freedman’s inequality	3
3.3	MLE Analysis	4

1 Introduction

This is a research note when reading chapter 13 of Zhang (2023).

In sequential estimation problem, we will observe a sequence of random variables $Z_t \in \mathcal{Z}_t$, where Z_t may depend on the history $\mathcal{S}_{t-1} = [Z_1, \dots, Z_{t-1}] \in \mathcal{Z}^{t-1}$. We denote the sigma algebra generated by \mathcal{S}_t as the filtration \mathcal{F}_t . We say a sequence $\{\xi_t\}$ is adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$, if each ξ_t is a function of \mathcal{S}_t . That is, each ξ_t does not depend on the future ($Z_s, s > t$). This is also referred to as that ξ_t is measurable in \mathcal{F}_t . The sequence

$$\xi'_t(\mathcal{S}_t) := \xi_t(\mathcal{S}_t) - \mathbb{E}[\xi_t(\mathcal{S}_t)|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \xi_t(\mathcal{S}_t) - \mathbb{E}_{Z_t|\mathcal{S}_{t-1}}\xi_t(\mathcal{S}_t),$$

is referred to as a martingale difference sequence, where we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi'_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0.$$

The sum of such a martingale difference sequence

$$\sum_{s=1}^t \xi'_s = \sum_{s=1}^t \xi'_s(\mathcal{S}_s)$$

is referred to as a martingale. In what follows, we further assume that $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^{(x)} \times \mathcal{Z}^{(y)}$ and $Z_t = (Z_t^{(x)}, Z_t^{(y)})$. For instance, the $Z_t^{(x)}$ may be regarded as the context of the contextual bandit in iteration t , while $Z_t^{(y)}$ is the random reward.

*Email: wx13@illinois.edu

2 Martingale Exponential Inequalities

For notation simplicity, we use

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z_t^{(y)}}[\cdot] := \mathbb{E}_{Z_t^{(y)}|Z_t^{(x)}, \mathcal{S}_{t-1}}[\cdot].$$

Lemma 1 (Martingale Exponential Inequalities). *Consider a sequence of real-valued random functions $\xi_1(\mathcal{S}_1), \dots, \xi_T(\mathcal{S}_T)$. Let $\tau \leq T$ be a stopping time so that $\mathbb{I}(t \leq \tau)$ is measurable in \mathcal{S}_t . We have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}_T} \exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \xi_s - \sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\xi_s)\right) = 1.$$

where $Z_t = (Z_t^{(x)}, Z_t^{(y)})$ and $\mathcal{Z}_t = (Z_1, \dots, Z_t)$.

Proof. We prove by induction on T' . When $T' = 0$, the inequality is trivial. Now suppose that it holds for $T' - 1$ for some $T' \geq 1$. We let $\tilde{\xi}_i = \xi_i \mathbb{I}(i \leq \tau)$ which is measurable in \mathcal{S}_i . We have

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \xi_s - \sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\xi_s) = \sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \tilde{\xi}_s - \sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\tilde{\xi}_s).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_{T'}} \exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \xi_s - \sum_{s=1}^{\tau} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\xi_s)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_{T'}} \exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{T'} \tilde{\xi}_s - \sum_{s=1}^{T'} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\tilde{\xi}_s)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_{T'}} \left[\exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{T'-1} \tilde{\xi}_s - \sum_{s=1}^{T'-1} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\tilde{\xi}_s)\right) \mathbb{E}_{Z_{T'}^{(y)}} \exp\left(\tilde{\xi}_{T'} - \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_{T'}^{(y)}} \exp(\tilde{\xi}_{T'})\right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_{T'-1}} \left[\exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{T'-1} \tilde{\xi}_s - \sum_{s=1}^{T'-1} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\tilde{\xi}_s)\right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z_1, \dots, Z_{\min(\tau, T'-1)}} \left[\exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\min(\tau, T'-1)} \xi_s - \sum_{s=1}^{\min(\tau, T'-1)} \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\xi_s)\right) \right] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality follows from $\min(\tau, T' - 1)$ is a stopping time $\leq T' - 1$ so we can use the induction hypothesis. \square

As a corollary, we have the following counterpart of Chernoff inequality.

Lemma 2 (Martingale Concentration inequality). *Consider a sequence of real-valued random functions $\xi_1(\mathcal{S}_1), \dots, \xi_T(\mathcal{S}_T)$ adapted to the filtration \mathcal{F}_t . We have for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > 0$:*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\exists n > 0 : -\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \geq \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_i^{(y)}} \exp(-\lambda \xi_i)\right] \leq \delta.$$

Proof. The proof can be found in Chapter 13 of Zhang (2023). \square

Remark 1. *One interesting observation is that Lemma 2 already ensures that the inequality holds for arbitrary $n > 0$ with high probability, while in the i.i.d. setting, this may come from an additional uniform convergence argument. However, we note that the hyper-parameter $\lambda > 0$ is fixed across $n > 0$, which leads to subtle difference in application of the above lemma. We present several examples in the next section.*

3 Examples with application

3.1 Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality

Lemma 3 (Martingale Sub-Gaussian inequality). *Consider a sequence of random functions $\xi_1(\mathcal{S}_1), \dots, \xi_t(\mathcal{S}_t), \dots$. Assume each ξ_i is sub-Gaussian with respect to $Z_i^{(y)}$:*

$$\log \mathbb{E}_{Z_i^{(y)}} \leq \lambda \mathbb{E}_{Z_i^{(y)}} \xi_i + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2}{2}$$

for some σ_i that may depend on \mathcal{S}_{i-1} and $Z_i^{(x)}$. Then for all $\sigma > 0$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\forall n > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{Z_i^{(y)}} \xi_i < \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \left(\sigma + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}{\sigma} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2}}.$$

Proof. We set $\lambda = \sqrt{2 \log(1/\delta)}/\sigma$ and apply Lemma 2. □

The main problem is that the σ (essentially, the λ in Lemma 2) has to be fixed for all n . Therefore, one cannot set $\sigma = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}$ to achieve the best bound for all n . Tuning the parameter requires us to pay for another $\log T$.

Lemma 4 (Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality). *Consider a sequence of random functions $\xi_1(\mathcal{S}_1), \dots, \xi_n(\mathcal{S}_n)$ with a fixed $n > 0$. If for each i : $\sup \xi_i - \inf \xi_i \leq M_i$ for some constant M_i , then with probability at least $1 - \delta$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{Z_i^{(y)}} \xi_i < \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n M_i^2 \log(1/\delta)}{2}}.$$

3.2 Freedman's inequality

Lemma 5 (Freedman's/Bernstein's inequality for martingale). *Let ξ'_t be the martingale difference defined in Section 1. If $|\xi'_t| \leq R$ almost surely, then for any $\eta \in (0, 1/R)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, for all $T' \leq T$,*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T'} \xi'_t \leq \eta \sum_{t=1}^{T'} \mathbb{E}_{t-1} [(\xi'_t)^2] + \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\eta}.$$

Proof. We define $\zeta_s = \eta \xi'_s - \eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\xi'_s)^2$, which is measurable in \mathcal{S}_s . Then, we estimate the conditional log-moment-generating function as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\zeta_s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp\left(\eta \xi'_s - \eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\xi'_s)^2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\xi'_s)^2\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp(\eta \xi'_s) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\xi'_s)^2\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} [1 + \eta \xi'_s + (e-2)(\eta \xi'_s)^2] \\ &= \exp\left(-\eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\xi'_s)^2\right) \cdot [1 + (e-2) \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\eta \xi'_s)^2] \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} (\xi'_s)^2\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \exp\left((e-2)(\eta \xi'_s)^2\right) \\ &\leq e^0 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $e^a \leq 1 + a + (e-2)a^2$ for $a \leq 1$ in the first inequality (the constraint on η), and $\mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}} \xi'_s = 0$ because ξ'_s is a martingale difference sequence. The second inequality uses $1 + a \leq e^a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we can invoke Lemma 2 with $\{\zeta_t\}$ and $\lambda = 1$ to obtain that

$$\forall n > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i < \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\eta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}_{Z_i^{(y)}} \exp(-\zeta_i) \leq \log(1/\delta).$$

Plugging $\zeta_i = \eta \xi'_i - \eta^2 \mathbb{E}_{Z_s^{(y)}}(\xi'_s)^2$ finishes the proof. \square

Example 1. We consider the contextual bandit problem with general function approximation. For $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$U^t(f) = (f(x^t, a^t) - r^t)^2 - (f^*(x^t, a^t) - r^t)^2.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{E}_{t-1} U^t(f) = \mathbb{E}_{t-1} (f(x^t, a^t) - f^*(x^t, a^t))^2,$$

where on the RHS, there is still randomness for a^t and $\mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{H}^{t-1}, x^t]$. Then $Z^t(f) = \mathbb{E}_{t-1} U^t(f) - U^t(f)$ is a martingale difference and $\sum_{t=1}^T Z^t(f)$ is a martingale sequence. Since the increment $|Z^t(f)| \leq 1$, we can apply the Freedman's inequality to get that w.p. at least $1 - \delta$, for all $\tau \leq T$,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} Z^t(f) \leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E}_{t-1} [Z^t(f)^2] + 8 \log(1/\delta).$$

We can control the second-order bound by

$$\mathbb{E}_{t-1} [Z^t(f)^2] \leq 4 \mathbb{E}_{t-1} [(f(x^t, a^t) - f^*(x^t, a^t))^2] = 4 \mathbb{E}_{t-1} U^t(f),$$

where it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{t-1} U^t(f) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} U^t(f) + 8 \log(1/\delta).$$

Since $0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{t-1} U^t(f) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} U^t(f) + 8 \log(1/\delta)$, we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} (f^*(x^t, a^t) - r^t)^2 \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} (f(x^t, a^t) - r^t)^2 + 8 \log(1/\delta).$$

Taking a union bound over f , we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\tau} (f^*(x^t, a^t) - r^t)^2 \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} (f(x^t, a^t) - r^t)^2 + 8 \log(|\mathcal{F}|/\delta),$$

holds with probability at least $1 - \delta$ for any $f \in [F]$, $\tau \in [T]$.

A distinct feature is that since we do not tune $\eta > 0$ for different times step, we can directly invoke the inequality that holds for all $n > 0$. Therefore, we do not pay for an additional $\log T$ here.

If one wishes to work with the random variables directly, we have the following result.

Lemma 6. Let $(\xi_t)_{t \leq T}$ be a sequence of random variables adapted to a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}$. If $0 \leq \xi_t \leq R$ almost surely, then with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \xi_t \leq \frac{3}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{Z_t^{(y)}} [\xi_t] + 4R \log(2\delta^{-1}),$$

and

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{Z_t^{(y)}} [\xi_t] \leq 2 \sum_{t=1}^T \xi_t + 8R \log(2\delta^{-1}).$$

3.3 MLE Analysis

As an additional example, we consider the model-based case, where we need to estimate the Hellinger distance between the model and the true model via the likelihood estimator.

Suppose that for each iteration t , we will choose a model $M^t \in \mathcal{M}$ (e.g. by UCB or posterior sampling) and collect a new trajectory by executing $x_h \sim \pi_{M^t}$, $a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_t$, where $\tilde{\pi}_t$ is either π_{M^t} (Q-type) or a uniform

exploration over \mathcal{A} (V-type). Then, suppose that we set $\beta_h = 2 \log(H|\mathcal{M}_h|/\delta)$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, for all $t \in [T]$, we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^s}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_s} D_{\mathbb{H}}^2(\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(\cdot | x_h, a_h), \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(\cdot | x_h, a_h)) \leq \beta,$$

where $\beta := \sum_{h=1}^H \beta_h = 2 \log(H|\mathcal{M}|/\delta)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_s$ is either π_{M^s} or $\text{Unif}(\mathcal{A})$.

We have the following estimation of the moment-generating function. For any $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^t \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^t | x_h^t, a_h^t)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^t | x_h^t, a_h^t)}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^t}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_t} \int_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \sqrt{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x | x_h, a_h) \cdot \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x | x_h, a_h)} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} \right) \right] \left(1 - \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^t}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_t} D_{\mathbb{H}}^2(\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(\cdot | x_h, a_h), \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(\cdot | x_h, a_h)) \right) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^t \left(1 - \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^s}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_s} D_{\mathbb{H}}^2(\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(\cdot | x_h, a_h), \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(\cdot | x_h, a_h)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now invoke Lemma 1 to obtain that for any fixed M_h , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\delta}{H|\mathcal{M}_h|} &\leq \mathbb{P} \left[\forall t > 0 : \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^t \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} \leq \log(H|\mathcal{M}_h|/\delta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=1}^t \log \left(1 - \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^s}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_s} D_{\mathbb{H}}^2(\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(\cdot | x_h, a_h), \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(\cdot | x_h, a_h)) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left[\forall t > 0 : \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^t \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} \leq \log(H|\mathcal{M}_h|/\delta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^s}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_s} D_{\mathbb{H}}^2(\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(\cdot | x_h, a_h), \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(\cdot | x_h, a_h)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we use $\log(1-x) \leq -x$ for $x \leq 1$. With a union bound over \mathcal{M}_h and then $[H]$, we conclude that with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have for all $t \in [T]$, and for all $h \in [H]$,

$$\sum_{s=1}^t \mathbb{E}_{x_h \sim \pi_{M^s}, a_h \sim \tilde{\pi}_s} D_{\mathbb{H}}^2(\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(\cdot | x_h, a_h), \mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(\cdot | x_h, a_h)) \leq \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{h,M^*}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)}{\mathbb{P}_{h,M}(x_{h+1}^s | x_h^s, a_h^s)} + \log(H|\mathcal{M}_h|/\delta).$$

We also save a $\log T$ because we do not need to tune the parameter λ in Lemma 2.

References

Tong Zhang. Mathematical analysis of machine learning algorithms. 2023. doi: 10.1017/9781009093057.