A NOTE ON Metric Entropy

Wei Xiong *

May 24, 2023

4 1 Introduction

1

2

3

5 We are interested in the use of metric entropy. This note is for the chapter 5 of Wainwright 6 [2019].

7 2 Covering and Packing

⁸ We consider a metric space (T, ρ) .

9 2.1 Definitions

Definition 1 (Covering number). A δ -cover of a set T w.r.t. ρ is a set $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N\} \subset T$ s.t. for each $\theta \in T$, there exists some $i \in [N]$ s.t.

$$\rho(\theta, \theta^i) \le \delta.$$

- ¹⁰ The covering number $N(\delta; T, \rho)$ is the cardinality of the smallest δ -cover.
- ¹¹ $N(\delta; T, \rho)$ is called the *metric entropy*, which is non-increasing function of δ .
 - $T = [-1, 1], \rho(a, b) = |a b|$:

$$N(\delta; T, \rho) \le \frac{1}{\delta} + 1;$$

• $T = [-1, 1]^d, \rho(a, b) = |a - b|_{\infty}$:

$$N(\delta; T, \rho) \le (\frac{1}{\delta} + 1)^d;$$

•
$$T = \{0,1\}^d, \rho(a,b) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d I(a_j \neq b_j):$$

$$2d(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)^2\log N(\delta;T,\rho) \le \log 2\lceil d(1-\delta)\rceil;$$

^{*}The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology; email: wxiongae@connect.ust.hk.

where $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Definition 2 (Packing number). A δ -packing of a set T w.r.t. ρ is a set $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^M\} \subset T$ s.t. for all distinct $i, j \in [M]$, we have

$$\rho(\theta^i, \theta^j) > \delta.$$

¹³ The packing number $M(\delta; T, \rho)$ is the cardinality of the largest δ -cover.

¹⁴ 2.2 Estimate covering number via packing number

The covering number and the packing number provide essentially the smae measure of the massiveness of a set, as summarized in the following lemma:

Lemma 1. For all $\delta > 0$, we have

$$M(2\delta; \mathbf{T}, \rho) \leq N(\delta; \mathbf{T}, \rho) \leq M(\delta; \mathbf{T}, \rho).$$

A direct application is for $[-1, 1]^d$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. We can observe that in [-1, 1], $\{\theta^i = -1 + 2(i-1)\delta : i = 1, 2, \cdots \lfloor \frac{1}{\delta} \rfloor + 1\}$ is a 2δ -packing. Therefore, we have

$$\log N\left(\delta; [0,1]^d, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right) \asymp d\log(1/\delta).$$

17 2.3 Estimate covering number via volume ratio

Covering is defined in terms of the number of balls, each of which is of a fixed radius and hence volume. The covering number is connected to the volume, stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 2 (Volume ratios and metric entropy). Consider a pair of norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ on \mathbb{R}^d and let \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{B}' be their corresponding unit balls. Then, the δ -covering number of \mathbb{B} in the $\|\cdot\|'$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{d} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B})}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B}')} \leq N\left(\delta; \mathbb{B}, \|\cdot\|'\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\mathbb{B} + \mathbb{B}'\right)}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B}')}.$$

20 We have following immediate results.

• If $\mathbb{B}' \subset \mathbb{B}$, the upper bound becomes

$$(\frac{2}{\delta}+1)^d \operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B});$$

• If we further take $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}'$, we obtain

$$d\log\frac{1}{\delta} \leq \log N(\delta; \mathbb{B}, \|\|) \leq d\log(1 + \frac{2}{\delta});$$

• In particular, the unit ball in Euclidean norm can be covered by at most $(1+2/\delta)^d$ balls with radius δ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

23 2.4 Covering number of smooth functions

We consider L-Lipschitz functions on $[0, 1]^d$, i.e,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L ||x - y||_{\infty}, \forall x, y \in [0, 1]^d.$$

The set of all *L*-Lipschitz functions on $[0,1]^d$ is denoted as $\mathcal{F}_L([0,1]^d)$ and we have

$$\log N_{\infty}\left(\delta; \mathcal{F}_L\left([0,1]^d\right) \asymp (L/\delta)^d\right)$$

We note that the metric entropy has an exponential dependence on the dimension d, which is a dramatic manifestation of the curse of dimensionality.

²⁶ 3 Gaussian and Rademacher complexity

The metric entropy plays a fundamental role in understanding the behavior of stochastic processes. We consider a coolection of random variables

$$\{X_{\theta}: \theta \in \mathbf{T}\}.$$

In particular, we consider a set $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$\{G_{\theta} = \langle w, \theta \rangle : \theta \in \mathbf{T}\}\$$

with $x_i \sim N(0,1)$ i.i.d., which is known as the *canonical Gaussian process* associated with T. Its expected supremum

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{T}) := \mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{T}} \left\langle \theta, w \right\rangle$$

is known as the *Gaussian complexity* of T, which measures the size of T in a certain sense. Replacing the normal random variables with Rademacher random variables yields the Rademacher process:

$$\{R_{\theta}: \theta \in \mathbf{T}\}$$

where

$$R_{\theta} := \langle \varepsilon, \theta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \varepsilon_i \theta_i$$
, with ε_i uniform over $\{-1, +1\}$, i.i.d.

Its expected supremum

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{T}) := \mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{T}} \langle \theta, \epsilon \rangle.$$

27 We have the following lemma;

Lemma 3. For any set T, we have

$$R(\mathbf{T}) \le \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{T})$$

We also remark that there are sets for which the Gaussian complexity is substantially larger than
 the Rademacher complexity.

- 30 We provide several examples.
 - The Euclidean ball of unit norm \mathbb{B}_2^d :

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B}_2^d) = \sqrt{d} \text{ and } \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{B}_2^d)/\sqrt{d} = 1 - o(1);$$

• \mathbb{B}_1^d is smaller than \mathbb{B}_2^d because:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{B}_1^d) = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{B}_1^d) / \sqrt{2 \log d} = 1 \pm o(1);$$

• $\mathbb{B}_0^d(s) := \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\theta\|_0 \le s\}$ and we consider $\mathbb{S}^d(s) := \mathbb{B}_0^d(s) \cap \mathbb{B}_2^d(1) = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|\theta\|_0 \le s, \text{ and } \|\theta\|_2 \le 1\}$:

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d}(s)\right) \precsim \sqrt{s\log\frac{ed}{s}}$$

We can also study a function class via its image, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(x_1^n) = \{ (f(x_1), \cdots, f(x_n)) : f \in \mathcal{F} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If the function class \mathcal{F} is uniformly bounded by b, then, we have

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\mathscr{F}\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)}{n}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathscr{F}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{w_{i}}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \le b\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\|w\|_{2}\right]}{\sqrt{n}} \le b.$$

³¹ 4 Metric entropy and sub-Gaussian processes

We aim to bound a expected suprema involving some process, which has its applications in deriving upper bounds for Rademacher complexity.

Definition 3 (Sub-Gaussian processes). A collection of zero-mean random variables $\{X_{\theta} : \theta \in T\}$ is a sub-Gaussian process w.r.t. a metric ρ_X on T if

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\left(X_{\theta}-X_{\tilde{\theta}}\right)}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}\rho_{X}^{2}(\theta,\tilde{\theta})}{2}}, \quad \forall \theta, \tilde{\theta} \in \mathbf{T}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the Chernoff method, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|X_{\theta} - X_{\tilde{\theta}}\right| \ge t\right] \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\rho_X^2(\theta,\bar{\theta})}}.$$

Given a sub-Gaussian process, we use the notaiton $N_X(\delta; \mathbf{T})$ to denote the δ -covering number of \mathbf{T} w.r.t. ρ_X . We start with a basic idea: by approximating \mathbf{T} up to some accuracy δ , we may replace

the supremum over T by a finite maximum over the δ -covering set, plus an approximation error that scales proportionally with δ . We denote the diameter of T as

$$D = \sup_{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{T}} \rho_X(\theta_1, \theta_2).$$

Theorem 1 (Bound by one-step discretization.). For any $\delta \in [0, D]$ s.t. $N_X(\delta, T) \ge 10$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\theta,\widetilde{\theta}\in\mathcal{T}}\left(X_{\theta}-X_{\widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right] \leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{\gamma,\gamma'\in\mathcal{T}\\\rho_{X}(\gamma,\gamma')\leq\delta}}\left(X_{\gamma}-X_{\gamma'}\right)\right] + 4\sqrt{D^{2}\log N_{X}(\delta;\mathcal{T})}.$$

We remark that due to X_{θ_0} is mean-zero, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\theta\in\mathrm{T}}X_{\theta}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\theta\in\mathrm{T}}\left(X_{\theta} - X_{\theta_{0}}\right)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\theta,\widetilde{\theta}\in\mathrm{T}}\left(X_{\theta} - X_{\widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right].$$

Proof. The idea to approximate an infinite set with error is presented in this proof. For a given $\delta > 0$ and associated covering number $N = N_X(\delta; T)$, we let $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N\}$ be a δ -cover of T. For any $\theta \in T$, we can find some θ^i with $\rho_X(\theta, \theta^i) < \delta$ and

$$X_{\theta} - X_{\theta^{1}} = (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta^{i}}) + (X_{\theta^{i}} - X_{\theta^{1}})$$

$$\leq \sup_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathrm{T} \\ \rho_{X}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq \delta}} (X_{\gamma} - X_{\gamma'}) + \max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} |X_{\theta^{i}} - X_{\theta^{1}}|$$

Similarly, we have

$$X_{\theta^1} - X_{\tilde{\theta}} \leq \sup_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbf{T} \\ \rho_X(\gamma, \gamma') \leq \delta}} \left(X_{\gamma} - X_{\gamma'} \right) + \max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} \left| X_{\theta^i} - X_{\theta^1} \right|.$$

Summing them up gives

$$X_{\theta} - X_{\tilde{\theta}} \leq 2 \sup_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbf{T} \\ \rho_{X}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq \delta}} (X_{\gamma} - X_{\gamma'}) + 2 \max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} |X_{\theta^{i}} - X_{\theta^{1}}|$$

Since θ and $\tilde{\theta}$ are arbitrary, ew conclude that

$$\sup_{\theta,\tilde{\theta}\in\mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\tilde{\theta}}) \leq 2 \sup_{\substack{\gamma,\gamma'\in\mathcal{T}\\\rho_X(\gamma,\gamma')\leq\delta}} (X_{\gamma} - X_{\gamma'}) + 2 \max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} |X_{\theta^i} - X_{\theta^1}|.$$

34

We can further optimize w.r.t. δ to obtain the optimal bound. For instance, we consider the

Gaussian complexity:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{T}) \le \min_{\delta \in [0,D]} \left\{ \mathcal{G}(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\delta)) + 2\sqrt{D^2 \log N_2(\delta;\mathbf{T})} \right\}$$

where N_2 means Euclidean norm and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\delta) := \left\{ \gamma - \gamma' \mid \gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbf{T}, \left\| \gamma - \gamma' \right\|_2 \le \delta \right\}.$$

The $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{T} \text{ is referred to as the$ *localized Gaussian complexity*. An analogous upper bound holds forthe Rademacher complexity in terms of a localized Rademacher complexity. To be specific, we useCauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$\mathcal{G}(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\delta)) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\theta \in \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\delta)} \langle \theta, w \rangle\right] \le \delta \mathbb{E}\left[\|w\|_2\right] \le \delta \sqrt{d}$$

which leads to

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{T}) \le \min_{\delta \in [0,D]} \left\{ \delta \sqrt{d} + 2\sqrt{D^2 \log N_2(\delta;\mathbf{T})} \right\}.$$
(4.1)

We provide several examples here. In particular, we will consider the image of a function class so it is useful to know the following relations among metric entropies:

Lemma 4. Let
$$||f - g||_n := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - g(x_i))^2}$$
. Then, we have
 $\log N_2\left(\delta; \mathcal{F}(x_1^n) / \sqrt{n}\right) \le \log N_\infty\left(\delta; \mathcal{F}(x_1^n)\right) \le \log N\left(\delta; \mathcal{F}, ||\cdot||_\infty\right)$

Proof. This is because

$$||f - g||_n \le \max_{i=1,\dots,n} |f(x_i) - g(x_i)| \le ||f - g||_{\infty}.$$

- 37 Note that we are concerning the empirical sets (images) for the first two terms.
- 38 We have
 - We know that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{B}_2^d) = \sqrt{d}(1 o(1))$. With the bound of entropy and the above result, we have

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{B}_{2}^{d}\right) \leq \sqrt{d} \left\{\frac{1}{2} + 2\sqrt{2\log 5}\right\};$$

• \mathcal{F}_L : the set of L-Lipschitz functions on [0, 1]:

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\mathscr{F}_{L}\left(x_{1}^{n}\right)/n\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \inf_{\delta \in (0,\delta_{0})} \left\{\delta\sqrt{n} + 3\sqrt{\frac{cL}{\delta}}\right\} \precsim n^{-1/3}$$

by setting $\delta = n^{-1/3}$.

40 5 Chaining and Dudley's entropy integral

The method used in last section only employs one-step discretization. The idea of chaining method is to decompose the supremum into a sum of finite maxima over sets that are successively refined so as to obtain tighter bounds. Let $\{X_{\theta} : \theta \in T\}$ be a zero-mean sub-Gaussian process w.r.t ρ_X and let $D = \sup_{\theta,\tilde{\theta}} \rho_X(\theta,\tilde{\theta})$. The δ -truncated Dudley's integral is given by

$$\mathcal{J}(\delta; D) := \int_{\delta}^{D} \sqrt{\log N_X(u; \mathbf{T})} du$$

 $_{\rm 41}$ $\,$ We then have

Theorem 2 (Bound via Dudley's entropy integral). For any $\delta \in [0, D]$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\theta,\vec{\theta}\in\mathbb{T}}\left(X_{\theta}-X_{\widetilde{\theta}}\right)\right] \leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\gamma,\gamma'\in\mathbb{T}}\left(X_{\gamma}-X_{\gamma'}\right)\right] + 32\mathcal{J}(\delta/4;D).$$

We can use it to derive bound for Rademacher complexity. Let $S = X_1^n$ and let $R_S(\mathcal{F})$ be the empirical Rademacher complexity. Then,

$$R_S(\mathcal{F}) \le 4\alpha + 12 \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\log N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P_n))}{n}} d\epsilon,$$
(5.1)

where $\alpha \geq 0$ is arbitrary. If we further assume that f is bounded in [-1, 1], then we have

$$R_S(\mathcal{F}) \leq \inf_{\epsilon>0} \left(\epsilon + \sqrt{\frac{2\log(N(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_2(P_n)))}{n}}\right),$$

42 where $L_2(P_n)(f, f') := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - f'(x_i))^2}.$

43 **References**

- 44 Martin J Wainwright. High-dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic viewpoint, volume 48. Cam-
- ⁴⁵ bridge University Press, 2019.